

TO: F. Joseph Vetter, First Sergeant
Manager, Evansville Regional Laboratory

2/11/02

FROM: Lynn Scamahorn, Forensic Scientist I
Evansville Regional Laboratory

Subject: Floyd County Prosecutor

Per your request, this is to provide information about statements made to myself during the David Camm Trial (00E1499) by the Floyd Co Prosecutor, Stanley O. Faith. My testimony was on three consecutive days: Feb 6 through Feb 8, 2002.

Mr. Faith stated that he knew how to get things done "just call Brackman". He said did I notice when he called Brackman that his office was able to contact me again and laboratory requests were pushed through. Mr. Faith repeatedly mentioned that he could just call Brackman. Mr. Faith asked me "you do know who Brackman is?" I replied that yes, I know who Col. Brackman is. Mr. Faith told me he would "put in a good word to Brackman for me when he has lunch with Brackman".

I am not sure of Mr. Faith's reason for continuously stating Brackman's name but I did feel that it became excessive and was not sure if it was a not so subtle hint to do what he and his office wanted or there would be trouble. It did add pressure to an already stressful testimony due to the length on the stand, the scenario of the case (and ex-trooper on trial for murder), the numerous experts in DNA and bloodspatter to testify after me, intense media coverage, etc.

Susan Orth, another Floyd County Prosecutor, stated that Mr. Faith would ask me on the stand about Laber and Epstein and did I observe them not changing gloves between items when they were at Evansville ISP Post to examine evidence in the Camm Case (00E1499). I said that I would prefer not to make judgements about other Forensic Scientists and if they asked me to speak concerning Laber and Epstein, it may violate MAFS and ABC ethics and would cause me difficulty in my job. Mr. Faith did honor this request. Instead he asked about QA/QC in our laboratory per my suggestion.

On Friday February 8, 2002 at a break, Mr. Faith called me into his office. There were no witnesses as it was only Mr. Faith and myself. Questioning was now on defense cross and recross stage. Mr. Faith shut the door to his office and began yelling at me very loudly using foul language. I felt it was totally inappropriate behavior and it was extremely unsettling to me due to the fact that I had to go back on the stand after break. Mr. Faith was upset at the fact that he wanted me to state that a stain was identified as vaginal secretions or saliva. To which (on the stand) I said that it could be. Mr. Faith was not satisfied with this answer. He said how could I let the defense lead me all over the place and when he (Mr. Faith) questioned me that I tried to second guess him and was so "damned literal". Mr. Faith stated that he felt I was being biased. Mr. Faith also wanted me to make a statement that David Camm could be included on the sweatshirt and the DNA types clearly do not include David Camm and I felt this is not something that could be stated. I told Mr. Faith that I could not make a statement of identity for

vaginal secretions or saliva due to the fact that there is not an identifying test for these types of stains. To which Mr. Faith replied that I was being "told how to testify" and this was a "Class D obstruction of Justice". I told Mr. Faith that he was welcome to contact my supervisor at Indianapolis ISP Laboratory, Paul Misner and discuss this. Mr. Faith said he would not call Indianapolis, he would call Brackman. Mr. Faith stated that he would open his own laboratory and his analyst could "say whatever they wanted". I told Mr. Faith that if he felt I was being biased then I apologized but restated that I could not testify to the things he wanted me to. He then said "They have been horrible to you over this". At this point, I excused myself to the restroom to be able to go back on the stand. After coming out of the restroom, I asked Detective Sean Clemons from Sellersburg district to sit with me so that Mr. Faith would be less likely to yell at me again.

There were also inappropriate comments about ISP personnel, which put me in a difficult position. In light of everything that went on, I do not wish to return to or have any contact with the Floyd County Prosecutor's Office. Not once was I ever unprofessional or did I raise my voice during the months of this office's numerous phone calls, exam request additions and changes to this case, many depositions and meetings with experts, and constant directions from several people in the Floyd Co Prosecutor's office. To be yelled at and treated in that manner is unprofessional, unfair, and inappropriate behavior! Especially since as an employee of the Indiana State Police, this would be unacceptable if I had displayed this behavior! I feel we have gone way above and beyond what we have done for any other prosecutor's office due to the fact we wanted to appear unbiased because of an ex-trooper being the suspect.

If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.



Lynn R. Scamahorn